

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 01/30/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/668,058	09/19/2003	Stefan J. Rublowsky	PRKR-4500	7284		
75	7590 01/30/2006			EXAMINER		
Philip A. Giran	Philip A. Girard			LONEY, DONALD J		
GIRARD & EQ	UITZ LLP		<u> </u>			
Suite 1110	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			PAPER NUMBER		
400 Montgomery Street			1772			
San Francisco,						

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/668,058	RUBLOWSKY ET AL	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Donald Loney	1772	

	Donald Loney	1772	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 17 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, affice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in a	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Cl	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	in the final rejection, wh g date of the final rejecti	ichever is later. In on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowed)	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	* **		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s).	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	•	•	•
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	∠ will not be entered, or b) will will will will will help will will will will will will will wi	II be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>2,4,6-10,12,14 and 18-26</u> .		•	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appear	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation			•
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). Other: 	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N		/
_		Daniel Johns	
		Donald Loney Primary Examiner	•
		Art Unit: 1772	

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment filed January 17, 2006 contains the new issues as to the "strip" being changed to a "member" along witht the "elongated" structure of the substrate being deleted in claims 2, 6 and 12. Additionally in claims 2, 6 and 12 the "longitudinal" axis has been changed to a "first axis" in reference to where the adhesive is applied. Claims 6 and 12 also contain the new issue as to " the smooth" section in the last three lines of these claims. Claims 14 and 19 contain the new issue as to the parallel edges of the substrate being unconnected to any other structure as added in the last three lines of these claims. Newly added claims 27-34, which were not present before, have been added and present new issues never considered before.